

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,649	03/16/2004	Hitoshi Kitagawa	ALPSP149	3954
22434	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	INER
BEYER WE	AVER & THOMAS LI	KIANNI, KAVEH C		
P.O. BOX 702	250			
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2883	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Anntinonto			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/802,649	KITAGAWA, HITOSHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Kianni C. Kaveh	2883			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 March 2004.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>3 and 9</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r r No(s)/Mail Date 4.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification contains formulas/acronyms such as TiO2, PbMoO4, Ta2O5 and SiOxNy in at least page 9, 2<sup>nd</sup> parag. that need to be spelled out in written language.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3 and 9 are allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious wherein one of the two optical waveguides comprises a first material selected from the group consisting of TiO2, PbMoO4, and Ta2O5, the first material having a negative refractive index temperature coefficient, and the other optical waveguide comprises a second material selected from the group consisting of lead lanthanum zirconate titanate and SiOxNy, the second material having a positive refractive index temperature coefficient in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,649

Art Unit: 2883

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grusemann et al. ('Integrated-optical wavelength sensor with self-compensation of thermally induced phase shifts by use of a LiNbO3 unbalanced Mach-zehnder interferometer'; 10/10/2002/vol. 41, no. 29/Applied Optics; pages 6211-6219).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Grusemann et al. teaches a Mach-Zehnder interferometer temperature sensor/optical-switch (shown in at least figure 1 and/or 11) comprising:

two optical waveguides having refractive index temperature coefficients with opposite signs (see fig. 1, items waveguide arms and col. Page 6212, 1<sup>st</sup> col., 1<sup>st</sup> parag.), the two optical waveguides being in the vicinity of each other at two locations such that two optical couplers are provided at the two locations and including respective optical waveguide arms between the two optical couplers (shown in fig. 1/11, item optical couplers at the two ends of the MZI waveguide arms); and a heater which heats at least one of the two optical waveguide arms (shown in fig. 1 and 8, item heater for generating heat/controlling the temperature of waveguide arm(s)).

However, Grusemann does not specifically state that the above couplers are of directional couplers. It is obvious/well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made that the above couplers in a MZI are/known-to-be as directional couplers since such couplers provide highly accurate output signals for temperature measurement (see abstract).

Regarding claims 2, 4-5, and 7-8, Grusemann further teaches wherein the heater heats both of the two optical waveguide arms (shown in fig. 8, item heater for heating both waveguide arms); wherein  $\delta/k \le 0.2$  is satisfied, where  $\delta$  is one-half of the difference between the transmission coefficients of the two optical waveguides and .kappa. is the coupling coefficient (shown in fig. 3, item  $\delta/k$  is far less that 0.2); wherein the physical lengths of the two optical waveguides are different from each other and are set such that the effective optical path lengths of the two optical waveguides for light with a predetermined wavelength are the same in the region between the optical couplers (see at least page 6212, 1<sup>st</sup> parag. and page 6214, 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> parag.); wherein the two optical waveguide arms have the same physical length (see at least page 6214, 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> parag.).

### Citation of Relevant Prior Art

Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In accordance with MPEP 707.05 the following references are pertinent in rejection of this application since they provide substantially the same information disclosure as this patent does. These references are:

Application/Control Number: 10/802,649 Page 5

Art Unit: 2883

Chen et al. 20030174955

Chen et al. 6836598

How Kee Chun et al. 20030039461

Conzone et al. 6882782

teaches at least independent claims

These references are cited herein to show the relevance of the apparatus/methods taught within these references as prior art.

Art Unit: 2883

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (571) 272-2417.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (571) 272-2415.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

K. Cyrus Kianni

Primary Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2883

July 28, 2005